

## UNITED STATES DEFECTMENT OF COMMERCE

#### **Patent and Trademark Office**

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

 09/025, 279
 02/18/98
 SHELTON
 R
 A39-972-010

LM11/0606 -

EXAMINER FLEURANTIN, J

JOHN L SIGALOS 13601 PRESTON ROAD SUITE 402W DALLAS TX 75240

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

**DATE MAILED:** 

00/00/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 



Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/025,279

Applicate

Robert H. Shelton

Examiner

Jean Bolte Fleurantin

Group Art Unit 2771



Responsive to communication(s) filed on Jun 15, 1999	
This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 (	ormal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to estimate in the state of this communication. Failure to application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	respond within the period for response will cause the
isposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 1-81	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
	is/are rejected.
Claim(s)	
☐ Claims	
Application Papers    See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing II   The drawing(s) filed on	d to by the Examiner.  isapproveddisapproved.  Inder 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).  Ithe priority documents have been  Der)  International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s)    Notice of References Cited, PTO-892   Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper Not   Interview Summary, PTO-413   Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948   Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	HE FOLLOWING PAGES

Art Unit: 2771

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-81 are presented for examination.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

2. Claims 1-81 are rejected under U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are indefinite because: Internet and Intranet are two different concepts, therefore the scope of the claims cannot be determined (in claim 1, element b, and claim 42 element c).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-81 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Evans (U.S. Pat. No. 5,924,074).

Art Unit: 2771

5. As per claims 1, and 42 Evans discloses a medical data base supervise control system comprising at least one database including medical data individually relating to each of a plurality of patients (see, abstract, lines 1-17),

Internet and/or Intranet means for requesting and accessing said medical data (see, figure 24, element 404, col. 12, lines 56-63),

means for identifying medical data for each of said patients with condition required for accessing of said medical data (see, figure 2, col. 5, lines 29-55). But, Evans does not specifically disclose a data processing means responsive to a request for patient medical data for comparing said request with said conditions required for access of said data and, when said request fails to comply with said conditions, for denying access to said data. However, Evans does disclose a method and system comprising the steps of organizing the patient data so as to form a patient record, and retrieving the patient record to access the patient data for use in the care of a patient, and obtaining a patient identifier, locating a patient record corresponding to the patient identifier (which is readable as data processing means responsive to a request for patient medical data for comparing said request with said conditions required for access of said data) (see, col. 3, lines 10-35).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have modified the teachings of Evans with data processing means responsive to a request for patient medical data for comparing said request with said conditions required for

Art Unit: 2771

access of said data, thereby improving the accuracy and reliability of standing order database search system and method for Internet and Intranet application.

Page 4

As per claims 2-3, 5, 43-44, and 46 Evans discloses a system further including means to prevent access to formation concerning medical records by any party without the prior authorization of the patient about whom such records pertain (see, cols. 5, and 6, lines 56-67 and 1-9).

As per claims 4, and 45 Evans discloses a method further including the step of tentatively identifying records fulfilling the criteria specified in said request for medical (see, col. 6, lines 7-9).

As per claims 6-7, and 47-48 Evans discloses a system wherein said means for requesting said medical data includes means for indicating what part of said records is desired (see, figure 15A).

As per claims 8-9, and 49-50 Evans discloses a system wherein said means for identifying records fulfilling such request further include data symbolic of medical symptoms or reason for patient visit (see, figure 15A, elements 252, 254, col. 9, lines 41-50).

Art Unit: 2771

As per claims 10-11, and 51-52 Evans discloses a system wherein said means for identifying records fulfilling such request further include data symbolic of the attributes, levels or findings indicated within said diagnostic tests (see, figure 7, col. 7, lines 10-28).

As per claims 12-13, and 53-54 Evans discloses a system wherein said means for identifying records fulfilling such request further include data symbolic of modes of treatment or medical services rendered (see, col. 7, lines 54-64).

As per claims 14-15, and 55-56 Evans discloses a system wherein said means used for identifying records fulfilling such request further include data symbolic of attending physician identity (see, col. 2, lines 50-54).

As per claims 16, 30, and 57 Evans discloses a system wherein said means for requesting and accessing said medical data includes means for indicating a "standing order" that will automatically initiate an attempt to retrieve certain pre-determined types of medical data under specific pre-specified circumstances (see, col. 2, lines 21-31).

As per claims 17, 58, and 63 Evans discloses a system wherein said conditions required for accessing said medical data includes an indication of the names of each of the parties who's permission must be obtained prior to the release of the such medical data (see, col. 9, lines 8-14).

Art Unit: 2771

As per claims 18-19, 59-61, and 78 Evans discloses a system wherein said conditions required for accessing said medical data further includes an indication of the charge that will be assessed by the holder of such medical data for the part, or in the form, specified by the requesting party (see, cols. 6 and 7, lines 54-67, and 1-5).

As per claims 20, 22, 34, and 75 Evans discloses a system wherein said at least one data base includes a firewall limiting access to searching such data base solely to those parties who are authorized to do so (see, col. 15, lines 24-29).

As per claims 21, 31, 62, and 72 Evans discloses a system wherein said means for identifying medical data fulfilling the criteria specified in a request include a means for producing an indicia of the degree to which data listed in such data index match the criteria specified in such request (see, col. 9, lines 28-37).

As per claims 23, and 67 Evans discloses a system wherein said at least one data base includes a billing means for access to said medical data (see, col. 1, lines 25-30).

As per claims 24-25, 64, and 66 Evans discloses a system wherein said means to grant permission includes data symbolic of the identity of such party and data symbolic of the preferred

Art Unit: 2771

means for contacting such party to request access to and release of said patient's medical data (see, abstract, lines 5-17).

Page 7

As per claims 26, 43, and 45-46 Evans discloses a system further including means for identifying the party requesting access to such medical data (see, figures 17A and 17B, col. 10, lines 42).

As per claims 27-29, 68-69, and 73 Evans discloses a method further including means for producing an indicia of the required approvals for the release of such medical data that have not been secured, or that have been specifically declined (see, col. 2, lines 53-60).

As per claims 32-33, and 40 Evans discloses a system further including means for billing said requesting party for the charge related to access to the medical data (see, abstract, lines 5-10).

As per claims 35-36, and 71 Evans discloses a system further including means for producing an indicia that the requested medical data have been received in an online memory cache means and are being held there for download by the requesting party (see, cols. 9 and 10, lines 61-67, and 1-17).

Art Unit: 2771

As per claims 37, 39, 47, and 80-81 Evans discloses a method further including the step of creating a security log and retaining an audit trail with regard to all of the communications between the parties (see, col. 3, lines 25-30).

As per claims 38, 74, and 79 Evans discloses a system further including means for informing the requesting party when medical data is in a non-digital form and the mode of such delivery (see, col. 1, lines 53-64).

As per claim 41, Evans discloses a system further comprising means for allowing parties to advertise in the public portions of said system (see, figure 22).

As per claim 65, and 70 Evan discloses a method wherein said step of providing for a party to grant permission includes data symbolic of the identity of such party and data symbolic of the preferred means for contacting such party to request access to and to the release of said patient's medical data (see, col. 2, lines 53-60).

As per claims 76, and 77 Evans discloses a method further including the step of permitting a properly credentialed requesting party to enter through the firewall and download said medical data from the memory cache (see, cols. 9 and 10, lines 61-67, and 1-58).

Art Unit: 2771

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

### Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication from examiner should be directed to Jean Bolte Fleurantin at (703) 308-6718. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:30 A.M. to 6.00 P.M.

If any attempt to reach the examiner by telephone is unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Thomas G. Black can be reached at (703) 305-9707. The FAX phone number is (703) 305-9731.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone is (703) 305-9600.

Jean Bolte Fleurantin

February 16, 2000

JBF/

THOMAS G. BLACK
THOMAS G. BLAC